

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

**CRYSTAL DEAN, Personal Representative
of the Estate of Elaine Archuleta, Deceased,**

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 1:14-cv-00472-MV-GBW

**RIO ARRIBA COUNTY BOARD OF
COMMISSIONERS, a municipal entity
Organized under the laws of the State of
New Mexico and its subsidiary;
GENARO MADRID; GUILLERMO JARAMILLO;
ORLANDO ULIBARRI; GUY JORDAN; JAMES CULIN; and
EDWARD MERCURE, in their individual and official capacities;
LA CLINICA DEL PUEBLO DE RIO ARRIBA;
SHIRLEY R. KNACKSTEDT; and
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,**

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF'S THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, by and through her undersigned attorney, for her cause of action against Defendants, would show the Court the following:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

1. Plaintiff Crystal Dean is the personal representative of the Estate of Elaine Archuleta and brings this case pursuant to the New Mexico Tort Claims Act, Wrongful Death Act, and 42 U.S.C. § 1983 et seq. Ms. Dean is a resident of San Miguel County, New Mexico. Rio Arriba County is sued for the wrongful conduct of its agents and employees as set forth here and below. Rio Arriba County is a political subdivision of the State of New Mexico. Defendants Genaro Madrid, Guillermo Jaramillo, Orlando Ulibarri, Guy Jordan, James Culin, and Edward Mercure are all employees of Rio

Arriba County, and agents thereof who work with, in and or for the Rio Arriba County Adult Detention Facility (RACADF) or other Rio Arriba County departments (collectively the Rio Arriba County Defendants). The individual Rio Arriba County Defendants are sometimes referred to as “the jail guards”. The Court has jurisdiction of this case pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

2. Defendant La Clinica del Pueblo de Rio Arriba (“the Clinic”) is a federally funded health clinic in Rio Arriba County, apparently entitled to the protection of the Federal Tort Claims Act. Defendant Shirley Knackstedt is a nurse practitioner who acted at all times material to this case as an agent of the Clinic. The United States of America is sued and the Defendants The Clinic and Knackstedt’s acts and omissions are those of the United States.

3. On or about August 28, 2012, Elaine Archuleta was arrested and booked into the Rio Arriba County Adult Detention Facility. She informed the officers booking her that she was withdrawing from alcohol and heroin, but Ms. Archuleta’s ongoing issues with substance addiction were known to personnel at the RACADF from Ms. Archuleta’s previous stays in that facility as well.

4. Ms. Archuleta was taken to La Clinica Del Pueblo in Tierra Amarilla, New Mexico during the day of August 29, 2012 at which time she was seen by Defendant Knackstedt and prescribed medications to address her health issues.

5. The personnel at the Rio Arriba County Adult Detention Facility (“RACADF”) charged with the care, supervision, and monitoring of Elaine Archuleta during her incarceration, including the jail guards, totally and completely failed in their duties. They were all employees of Rio Arriba County, supervised and trained by the Rio Arriba County Adult Detention Facility supervisors and staff, and each acted under color of state law.

6. The RACADF and its employees (specifically Defendants Culin and Mercure) failed to provide Ms. Archuleta with all of the medications which were prescribed for her on August 29, 2012 by Shirley Knackstedt, a nurse practitioner, and despite Ms. Archuleta's consistent complaints of pain, discomfort, vomiting and suffering from uncontrolled diarrhea while she was incarcerated, there was no response or attention from any of the Rio Arriba County Defendants, or agents thereof, nor any meaningful attempt by any of them to provide proper care and attention to her.

7. During her examination by Nurse Practitioner Knackstedt, Elaine Archuleta explained that she had drunk a gallon of vodka and beer the day before, and reported her heroin use. During the examination, Ms. Archuleta was vomiting yellow bile and unable to control her bowels, excreting watery diarrhea.

8. No correctional officer nor employee of Rio Arriba County Detention Center assisted Ms. Archuleta, nor provided aid and necessary medical treatment to her until it was far too late, after she had seizures and suffered respiratory arrest, even though they had knowledge of her distress, complaints and worsening condition. Even at the point at which her breathing stopped and seizures began, fellow inmates were giving Ms. Archuleta CPR, while certain guards, employed by the County and trained and supervised by the Sheriff, watched, provided no assistance and did not render proper or timely aid and care, nor seek medical assistance (specifically Defendants Madrid, Ulibarri, Jaramillo, and Jordan). Such actions violated Elaine Archuleta's well-known constitutional and civil rights and contributed to her death.

9. Ms. Archuleta died in the early morning hours of August 30, 2012, from alcohol and heroin withdrawal.

10. Defendant RIO ARRIBA COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS (hereinafter referred

to as "Rio Arriba County") is a political subdivision of the State of New Mexico. Pursuant to § 4-16-1 NMSA 1978, all suits or proceedings against a county are to be brought in the name of the board of county commissioners of that county. Rio Arriba County is the proper venue for the redress for the harm done by Defendants to Elaine Archuleta and her Estate. Pursuant to NMSA 1978 §§ 4-44-19, 33-3-3 through -8, Rio Arriba County had a statutory obligation to provide for the confinement of prisoners incarcerated under the County's jurisdiction. The County had a statutory obligation to appropriate funds and otherwise provide the necessary funding to maintain and operate a facility for the incarceration of prisoners under the jurisdiction of the county.

11. The jail guards are all correction officers employed at the RACADF at the time of Elaine Archuleta's incarceration. At all relevant times, each of the jail guards were employed by Rio Arriba County as correction officers at RACADF. For purposes of 42 U.S.C. § 1983, each of them were acting under color of state law. In connection with Plaintiff's § 1983 claims, the jail guards are sued personally and in their official capacity as corrections officers at the RACADF. The jail guards were each responsible for the proper implementation and following of RACADF policies and procedure, maintaining a safe environment at the RACADF and ensuring that Plaintiff's rights were honored, maintained, observed, and met.

12. This court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

13. The allegations of the General Allegations are incorporated herein by this reference.

14. The Rio Arriba County Defendants breached their duty to Plaintiff. Their conduct is of the type for which immunity has been waived, pursuant to § 41-4-12, NMSA 1978 (1977).

15. The conduct of the employees of Rio Arriba County was negligent, entitling Plaintiff to

damages in this wrongful death case pursuant to the New Mexico Tort Claims Act and Wrongful Death Act.

16. Proper notice of this claim has been given as required by the New Mexico Tort Claims Act.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

17. The allegations of Paragraphs 1-15 are incorporated herein by this reference.

18. Acting under color of state law, agents and employees of Rio Arriba County, including each of the Rio Arriba County Defendants, deprived Elaine Archuleta of her civil rights under the Fourth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States.

19. The conduct of the agents and the employees of Defendants, for which Defendant Rio Arriba County is responsible under the doctrine of *respondeat superior*, demonstrated a deliberate indifference to the civil rights and personal safety of Elaine Archuleta and also constitute a practice and procedure in the Rio Arriba County Detention Center for which the Rio Arriba County Defendants are liable. By ignoring prescriptions for medication for Ms. Archuleta, ignoring her pleas for medical assistance, and failing to provide adequate medical care and attention, and failing to give her the medication prescribed, Defendants engaged in practices which violated Ms. Archuleta's constitutional rights. These are accepted customs in the Rio Arriba County Sheriff's Department, at the Rio Arriba County Jail.

20. Defendant Rio Arriba County had a duty to exercise due care in the supervision of the RACADF personnel. In addition, Rio Arriba County had a duty to assure that employees of the RACADF were properly trained, aware of inmates' constitutional rights, were aware of and followed proper protocols and to properly screen, hire, train, monitor, supervise and/or discipline employees of the RACADF. As part of that responsibility, Rio Arriba County had a duty to:

- a. Conduct a reasonable and adequate applicant screening process, including background investigations, to determine whether applicants for employment were appropriately qualified to work at the RACADF;
- b. Assure that RACADF personnel received appropriate and adequate training, including at a minimum the amounts required by law, and to make sure that the RACADF personnel understood the training that was or should have been provided;
- c. Prepare, adopt and inculcate policies, procedures and protocols regarding the care for the physical health of inmates;
- d. Assure proper levels of staffing of all shifts by competent, qualified personnel; and
- e. Monitor or discipline employees of RACADF in order to assure that they complied with policies, procedures and protocols.

21. Prior to August 29, 2012, Rio Arriba County knew or reasonably should have known that employees and personnel at the RACADF were acting improperly and not properly caring for the health of persons incarcerated at the RACADF.

22. Prior to August 28, 2012, Rio Arriba County knew or reasonably should have known that employees and personnel at the RACADF lacked adequate training, and were operating without knowledge of or in disregard for RACADF policies, procedures and protocols, particularly those applying to the care for the health of inmates at the RACADF.

23. Defendant Rio Arriba County breached its duties to Plaintiff by its deliberate indifference to the constitutional rights of Plaintiff and by failing to properly screen, hire, train, monitor, supervise and/or discipline employees and personnel of the RACADF. In particular, Rio Arriba County failed to assure proper levels of staffing, failed to inculcate existing policies, failed to modify or revise policies related to inmate health care, failed to implement appropriate training and failed to take other appropriate supervisory actions to correct the problems which resulted in the deprivation of the rights of Plaintiff at the hands of the employees of the RACADF.

24. The conduct of Rio Arriba County and its employees and personnel of the RACADF, described above, deprived Plaintiff of her constitutional rights pursuant to the 14th Amendment and/or constituted cruel and unusual punishment in violation of the 8th Amendment to the United States Constitution. The conduct of Rio Arriba County and its employees of the RACADF was intentional, unreasonable, reckless, wanton, willful and/or callously indifferent to the rights of Plaintiff shocks the conscience.

25. The conduct of Defendants was reckless, wanton, willful and malicious, thereby entitling Plaintiff to punitive damages to punish Defendants and to deter it and other detention center facilities from similar conduct in the future.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

26. The allegations of Paragraphs 1-25 are incorporated herein by this reference.

27. The Defendant Clinic, through Nurse Practitioner Knackstedt, failed to properly treat Ms. Archuleta. At the least, she should have been placed in a Hospital setting for proper treatment rather than returned to the jail, and there was no follow-up at all with the patient. Such omissions and failures were negligent and failed to comply with the applicable standard of proper medical treatment and judgment. The United States of America is liable for the acts and omissions of the Clinic and Nurse Knackstedt.

DAMAGES

28. The allegations of Paragraphs 1-27 above are incorporated herein by this reference.

29. As a direct and proximate result of the wrongful acts and omissions of Defendants as described above, Elaine Archuleta died, and lost her chances of survival. Plaintiff Crystal Dean, the daughter and personal representative of Elaine Archuleta and her estate, seeks all damages

recoverable in wrongful death damages in New Mexico, including without limitation, funeral and burial expenses, mental anguish, emotional distress, and loss of consortium and society.

30. The conduct of Defendants was reckless, wanton, willful and malicious, thereby entitling Plaintiff to punitive damages to punish Defendants and to deter them and other Counties and Sheriffs and persons operating detention centers, from similar conduct in the future.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that she have and recover judgment against Defendants, and each of them, for her compensatory and punitive damages to be proved at trial; for reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit incurred herein; for pre- and post-judgment interest as provided by law; and for such other and further relief as the Court may deem to be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

THE PERRIN LAW FIRM

1322 Paseo de Peralta, Lower Level

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Telephone: (505) 989-8800

Facsimile: (214) 646-6117

Email: dougperin@perinlaw.org

/s/Doug Perrin

Doug Perrin

Thomas M. Clark

Michael R. Jones

CLARK, JONES & PENNINGTON

1322 Paseo de Peralta

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Telephone: (505) 820-1825

Facsimile: (505) 986-0475

Email: tmattclark@earthlink.net

Email: mrjoneslaw@yahoo.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 18th day of September, 2014, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court for the U.S. District Court of New Mexico, using the electronic case filing system of the Court. The electronic case filing system sent a "Notice of Electronic Filing" to the following attorney of record to accept this Notice as service of this document by electronic means:

Robert Becker
Yenson, Allen & Wosick, P.C.
4908 Alameda Blvd., NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113-1736
Attorney for Defendant Rio Arriba County Board of Commissioners

W. Mark Mowery
Rodey, Dickason, Sloan, Akin & Robb
Post Office Box 1357
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-1357
Attorney for Defendants Knackstedt and La Clinica Del Pueblo De Rio Arriba

/s/Doug Perrin
Doug Perrin